



Mini Review

Volume 3 Issue 1 - August 2017  
DOI: 10.19080/JCMAH.2017.03.555604

J Complement Med Alt Healthcare

Copyright © All rights are reserved by Maria Fâni Dolabela

# Strategies to Validate the Use of Plants as Antimalarial



Milena Cristina Martins da Silva<sup>1</sup>, José Mário Veloso Peres<sup>2</sup>, Marinete Marins Póvoa<sup>2</sup>, Giselle Maria Rachid Viana<sup>2</sup> and Maria Fâni Dolabela<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Postgraduate program, Pharmaceutical Sciences, Brazil

<sup>2</sup>Section of Parasitology, Instituto Evandro Chagas, Brazil

**Submission:** July 27, 2017; **Published:** August 07, 2017

\*Corresponding author: Maria Fâni Dolabela, Postgraduate program in Pharmaceutical Sciences- PPGCF/UFGA/BRA, Brazil, Tel: +55 91 32018828; Email: fanidolabela20@gmail.com

## Abstract

Due to high number of people at risk of malaria, and the emergence of multidrug-resistant strains of *Plasmodium*, the searching for alternative treatments, and isolation of antimalarial compounds are urgent. Folk medicine have been used to treat malaria for thousand years. However, some studies have shown absence of antiplasmodic activity of plants popularly used for treatment. This lack of agreement between the popular use and laboratory tests requires the application of adequate methods of analysis to prove the efficacy and safety of medicinal plants, and to determine their potential as complementary therapy or source of isolated compounds as antimalarial drug. This review compared some methods for evaluating antiplasmodial activity. Through the analysis of the methods, the most efficient way to evaluate the activity of plants used in traditional medicine is use rapid tests as screening, then use microtest to test promising sample, and finally, use *in vitro* methods to assess parasitemia in rodents and clinical aspects.

**Keywords:** Alternative malaria treatments; Traditional medicine; Methods for searching new drugs

## Introduction

Malaria is a parasitic disease from tropical regions caused by species of *Plasmodium*, *Plasmodium vivax*, *P. falciparum*, *P. malariae*, *P. ovale* and *P. Knowlesi* in humans, transmitted by mosquitoes of genus *Anopheles*. As a public health concern, malaria presented 212 million new cases and 429,000 deaths in 2015, and it was considered as endemic in 91 countries in 2016 [1]. The emergence and rapid spread of multidrug-resistant strains of *Plasmodium*, has been identified as the major cause of control failure. Recent evidence suggests parasites resistance to the nearly all available drugs including the newest ones [2].

Since billion people are still at risk of malaria, and emergence of multidrug-resistant strains of *Plasmodium* represent a major problem for treatment, even in combination therapy schemes [3-5], the searching for alternative treatments, and isolation of affordable and efficient antimalarial compounds are urgent.

Folk medicine have been used to treat malaria for thousand years. The traditional use of plants led to the discovery of the two main groups (artemisinin and quinine derivatives) of modern antimalarial drugs, after the physician Francesco Torti began

using high doses of the powdered bark of cinchona in patients which presented signs of malarial fevers, resulting in quinine and 30 more alkaloids isolation in 1820 by Pelletier and Caventou, based on records of South American natives written first by Juan Fragoso and Nicolas Monardes [6-9].

The use of medicinal plants to treat this disease is common in many countries, especially in regions with low economic development and difficult access where health services are limited, and plants are a sustainable source of treatment. In Zimbabwe, for example, due to the high use of traditional plant-based medicines to combat malaria, some surveys have been made to document how the malaria diagnose is made by traditional healers, and the mode of preparation and administration of plants for malaria prevention and treatment [10]. This initiative not only increases and improves the people participation in the fight against malaria, but also, preserves local traditions and knowledge. Brazilian natives also use plants to treat fever and malaria mainly in rural areas or inside the forest, in the heart of the Amazon. Several studies have reported the exotic and native species used in Brazil to treat this condition [11-15].

However, several studies have also demonstrated that the popular use of plants is not always justified in the laboratory. In Mozambique, from 58 extracts tested as antimalarial, only two showed significant activity (IC<sub>50</sub> <5µg/mL) [16]. In Cuba, another study evaluated the activity of 14 plant species, in which, the use of only two was validated [17]. This lack of agreement between the popular use and laboratory tests requires the application of adequate methods of analysis in order to guarantee high reliability of the results, and prove the efficacy and safety of medicinal plants used as complementary therapy or isolated compound as drug treatment as well.

The choice of the appropriate method of analysis should be made taking into account the number of samples, the feasibility of the test (equipment, ability of the microscopist and physical lab conditions), and the particularities of each method. This review aimed to compare some methods for evaluating antiplasmodial activity highlighting their advantages and disadvantages in order to guide the researchers on the choice of the appropriate method.

### Methods to evaluate the antiplasmodial activity of promising drugs

There are several methods to evaluate the activity against the *Plasmodium*. The general objective of these methods is assess the parasitemia after treatment with the drug under analysis. The most common ways to evaluate this is through *in vivo* and *in vitro* assays or chemical tests which use hypoxanthine or other substances as markers.

For choosing the method correctly, it is important to keep in mind that the *Plasmodium* is a selective species, it means, species that infect humans do not infect rodents. *Plasmodium berghei* is often used for the study of human malaria because of its ability to infect rodents and relative ease of genetic engineering [18]. In this context, the main disadvantages of *in vivo* studies are: genetic differences between the parasites used in the experiment, and the parasites that infect humans, and the need for a greater quantity of test samples than *in vitro* test, which may limit plant metabolites tests due to their difficult extraction and the low yield; As an advantage, *in vivo* studies have the possibility of evaluating, besides the reduction of parasitemia, whether the test sample interferes with disease clinical aspects.

*In vitro* studies are widely used for plant extracts, fractions and pure substances screening, and has the advantage of using *P. falciparum* without high amount of samples. The main techniques for evaluating antimalarial activity *in vitro* are: microtest, incorporation of labeled hypoxanthine, Histidine-Rich Protein 2 (HRP2) and *Plasmodium* Lactate Dehydrogenase (pLDH). In the microtest, the antiplasmodic activity can be evaluated after 24h or 72h of treatment to assess the 1st or 2nd schizogony inhibition [19]. However, this method requires good microscopy ability, and the workload is very large due to the number of microscopy slides, about 32-80 slides for each sample tested. This technique has also some advantages: it allows to evaluate the parasites

morphology and the parasitemia; In general, if the procedures are done properly, there is a good repeatability of the results.

The hypoxanthine test came to reduce the microtest workload. In that test, the parasites are exposed to a radioactive hypoxanthine that is incorporated by them, and evaluated in beta-scintillator [20]. Undoubtedly, this method is very adequate, but it runs into some limitations: the need for a license from the National Nuclear Energy Commission to purchase the radioactive material, and homologate the room where the test will be done.

The pLDH-based test could be used as a quick and easy strategy for the screening of active substances by the identification of antigen of malaria parasites. This method is currently used to detect parasites in circulation; however, a recent study revealed an alternative approach: the enumeration of total parasite bio-burden by bio-luminescent through commercial ELISA human parasite lactate dehydrogenase (pLDH) detection kit in murine malaria models [21].

Other alternative is the HRP2 that implies in the detection of malaria parasites' histidine on the red blood cells. A potential problem for HRP2-based assays is the persistence of detectable antigen for up to several weeks after parasites eradication. The advantage of HRP2 and pLDH-based tests these tests are the absence of microscopy that requires a well maintained equipment, significant technical skills, good-quality reagents and a considerable number of slides. Disadvantages are that test results are qualitative and do not provide prognostic information, such as parasite staging. Therefore, these tests, considered as the fast ones, can be used as screening when the quantity of samples are large and require a lot of work if all the samples are tested through the microtest.

Through the analysis of all these methods, the most efficient way to evaluate the activity of plants used in traditional medicine for malaria treatment would be better developed by the following steps: Choice of *in vitro* method → active or inactive → perform *in vivo* study using *P. berghei* (to determine parasitemia, clinical aspects)

### Conclusion

In Conclusion, we suggest, based on the advantages and disadvantages presented in this analysis, that if the number of test samples is reduced the microtest would be a better option. When the quantity of samples are large, the rapid tests would be used as screening before microtest because they do not require extensive training, and many or well maintained equipment, besides reducing the amount of work. Lastly, methods *in vitro* using *P. berghei* should be used to assess parasitemia in rodents and clinical aspects

### Authors Contribution

MCMS was the sole-principle investigator of study. JMVP, MMP, GMRV eMFD performed editorial guidance and research

methodology analysis and MFD was responsible for submitting the manuscript.

## References

1. WHO (2016) Malaria Report, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
2. WHO (2001) Drug resistance in malaria, Boland P, World Health Organization, USA.
3. Noedl H, Se Y, Schaefer K, Smith BL, Socheat D, Fukuda MM, et al. (2008) Evidence of artemisinin-resistant malaria in western Cambodia. *N Engl J Med* 359(24): 2619-2620.
4. Silva JR, Ramos DS, Machado M, Moura DF, Neto Z, et al. (2011) A review of antimalarial plants used in traditional medicine in communities in Portuguese-speaking countries: Brazil, Mozambique, Cape Verde, Guinea-Bissau, São Tomé and Príncipe and Angola. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 106(Suppl 1): 142-158.
5. Ajayi NA, Ukwaja KN (2013) Possible artemisinin-based combination therapy-resistant malaria in Nigeria: a report of three cases. *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop* 46(4): 525-527.
6. Jarcho S, Torti F (1993) Quinine's predecessor : Francesco Torti and the early history of cinchona. *Med Hist* 38(3).
7. Bruneton J (1995) Pharmacognosy phytochemistry medicinal plants. Paris, Lavoisier.
8. Huxtable RJ, Schwarz SKW (2001) The isolation of morphine--first principles in science and ethics. *Mol Interv* 1(4): 189-191.
9. Spelman K, Medicinal Plants for Malaria: A realistic use of herbals?
10. Ngarivhume T, Van't Klooster CIEA, Jong JTVM, Westhuizen JHV (2015) Medicinal plants used by traditional healers for the treatment of malaria in Chipinge district in Zimbabwe. *J Ethnopharmacol* 159: 224-37.
11. Milliken W (1997) Traditional anti-malarial medicine in Roraima, Brazil. *Economic Botany* 51(3): 212-237.
12. Oliveira FQ, Junqueira RG, Stehmann JR, Brandão MGL (2003) Potencial das plantas medicinais como fonte de novos antimaláricos: espécies indicadas na bibliografia etnomédica brasileira. *Rev Bras Plantas Med* 5(2): 23-31.
13. Botsaris AS (2007) Plants used traditionally to treat malaria in Brazil: the archives of Flora Medicinal. *J Ethnobiol Ethnomed* 3: 18.
14. Dolabela MF, Oliveira SG, Nascimento JM, Peres JM, Wagner H, et al. (2008) *In vitro* antiplasmodial activity of extract and constituents from *Esenbeckia febrifuga*, a plant traditionally used to treat malaria in the Brazilian Amazon. *Phytomedicine* 15(5): 367-372.
15. Mariath IR, Falcão HS, Barbosa-Filho JM, Sousa LCF, Tomaz ACA, et al. (2009) Plants of the American continent with antimalarial activity. *Rev Bras Farmacogn* 19(1): 158-192.
16. Ramalhete C, Lopes D, Mulhovo S, Rosário VE, Ferreira MJU, et al. (2008) Antimalarial activity of some plants traditionally used in Mozambique. *Workshop Plantas Medicinais e Fitoterapêuticas nos Trópicos ICT/CCCM*.
17. Valdés AF, Martínez JM, Lizama RS, Gaitén YG, Rodríguez DA, Payrol JA, et al. (2010) *In vitro* antimalarial activity and cytotoxicity of some selected Cuban medicinal plants. *Rev Inst Med Trop* 52(4): 197-201.
18. Nussenzweig R, Herman R, Vanderberg J, Yoeli M, Most H, et al. (1966) Studies on sporozoite-induced infections of rodent malaria.3. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 15(5): 684-689.
19. WHO (2001) *In vitro* micro-test (Mark III) for the assessment of the response of Plasmodium falciparum to chloroquine, mefloquine, quinine, amodiaquine, sulfadoxine/pyrimethamine and artemisinin, World Health Organization, Geneva Switzerland.
20. Kalra B, Chawla S, Gupta P, Valecha N (2006) Screening of antimalarial drugs: an overview. *Indian J Pharmacol* 38(1): 5-12
21. De SL, Stanisic DI, Rivera F, Batzloff MR, Engwerda C, Good MF, et al. (2016) Plasmodium berghei bio-burden correlates with parasite lactate dehydrogenase: application to murine Plasmodium diagnostics. *Malar J* 15: 3.



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License  
DOI: 10.19080/JCMAH.2017.03.555604

### Your next submission with Juniper Publishers will reach you the below assets

- Quality Editorial service
- Swift Peer Review
- Reprints availability
- E-prints Service
- Manuscript Podcast for convenient understanding
- Global attainment for your research
- Manuscript accessibility in different formats ( Pdf, E-pub, Full Text, Audio)
- Unceasing customer service

Track the below URL for one-step submission

<https://juniperpublishers.com/online-submission.php>